# **Classroom Walk Through**

What is it? An observation technique that allows the observer to record "snapshot" information on the effective elements of a classroom including instructional strategies, standards-based objectives, aligned instructional materials, level of cognitive interaction, classroom displays and resources, student engagement, and more.

Why are we doing it? Classroom Walk Through (CWT) provides a powerful tool for instructional leaders to gather information for the purposes of coaching, program planning, and professional development. It is part of the State of Florida's DELTA Leadership program. It is thoroughly research based, and it's effectiveness has been well documented. CCPS has implemented CWT to improve instruction, increase student performance, identify professional development needs, and provide data for instructional decision making from the classroom level to the district level.

What are they looking for in an observation? The CWT observation focuses on the following components:

<u>Teaching Objective/Learning Expectation</u> - Is the objective/expectation appropriate and aligned with state standards/district curriculum? Is the objective/expectation communicated to the student in "student-friendly" language verbally or visually? Is the teacher teaching to the objective/expectation?

<u>Target</u> - Is the lesson on target for the grade level/ needs of the students (if below or above grade level)?

<u>Taxonomy</u>- At what level of Bloom's Taxonomy of Knowledge are students working? Is the level(s) appropriate to the learning objective(s)? Is there a balance of high and low order questions/cognitive tasks?

<u>Text & Materials</u> - Are the materials and related classroom resources appropriate and supportive of the learning objective(s)? Are the materials differentiated according to student needs?

<u>Instructional Strategies</u> - What is the teacher doing? Whole group, small group, or individual approaches? Direct or facilitated approaches? Integrating technology? Modeling? And much more

<u>Learner Engagement</u> - What are the students doing? Are they conscious of the learning objective/expectation? Are they actively engaged or passively compliant?

<u>Learning Environment</u> - What does the classroom look like? Are there displays, word walls, etc. that support the learning activities? Are there displays of student work? Are there resources, technology, classroom libraries, etc.?

Why did I receive a question after the observation? About half the time, the observer may provide a "reflective prompt" question to the teacher. The purpose of the prompt is to help the teacher think about (reflect) on his/her own professional practice. This reflection causes conscious thinking about specific actions in the classroom, and empowers the teacher in his/her own professional development.

**Is it evaluative?** Classroom Walk Through is a formative observation process. The difference between Formative (coaching) and Summative (evaluative) observations is provided below:

	FORMATIVE	SUMMATIVE
Role of Observer	Coach/helper	Judge/evaluator
Purpose	Identify strengths and	To differentiate by "grade"
	developmental areas/ to	or "rank"/to make systems
	make individual and small	level decisions
	group level decisions	
Use of Results	Professional development,	Personnel appraisal,
	program adjustments,	program effectiveness
	allocation of resources	(keep/don't keep)
Primary Methods of	Clinical Coaching	Florida Performance
individual	observation (including Peer	Measurement System
observations/feedback	Teacher processes),	(FPMS) observation
	informal coaching	
	observation, CWT (focus is	
	primarily group level)	
Pre/Post conferences	Individual for clinical	Individual (required)
	methods, individual or	
	group for CWT	

**Will I be provided feedback after a CWT observation?** Because of the short duration of a CWT observation, observers should make several observations before providing feedback. Also, feedback may be provided at the group level if general developmental themes are evident for all members of a group (school, grade level, department, team, etc.)

**Will CWT observations be used in my evaluation?** No, the CWT observations are used for coaching/formative purposes only. The personnel appraisal system of CCPS utilizes the FPMS summative observation in the regular program, and the alternate appraisal program for those that qualify.

**What research supports Classroom Walk Through?** The research-base and history of CWT is extensive and can be divided into two areas:

<u>Content</u>: CWT is focused on the research of effective classrooms, effective instruction, and learning. The primary focus is around Marzano's "high yield" instructional strategies, Bloom's "Knowledge Taxonomy", Lezotte's principals of learning, and more.

<u>Process</u>: The CWT process is also research-based, extending from the "Manage By Walking Around (MBWA)" approach. The process also has deep roots in Goldhammer's clinical coaching model, data collection/analysis techniques, and research into instructional leadership. On a spectrum of observation/coaching processes, CWT lies between summative/evaluative processes (such as the FPMS process), and informal

observation/coaching. While formative, it provides focus to the observation, as well as a means to collect data on research-based practices and strategies.

Additional research areas include Principal as Leader, Supporting Instruction, and Reflective Practice.

Finally, the impact of the entire CWT process has been (and continues to be) researched. Numerous studies point to the improvement in classroom instruction, benefits of reflective practice, and the impact on student achievement.

A full list of references is provided at the end of this document.

How can I learn more about Classroom Walk Through? CWT will be provided as a CAPE course in the near future. As well, many schools have begun local professional development around the "high yield" strategies of Robert Marzano's research (*Classroom Instruction that Works*) and the "Quadrant D" lesson approach of Bill Daggett's work. Principals were provided with an orientation powerpoint presentation which will also be available on the PDA website in the near future (<a href="www.yourcharlotteschools.net/pda">www.yourcharlotteschools.net/pda</a>). Finally, if you would like to see a CWT observation first hand, please talk with your principal.

#### **Classroom Walk Through References**

(titles in **bold** are provided to CWT training participants)

### Conducting Classroom Walk Throughs

Barnes, F., & Miller, M. (2001). Data analysis by walking around. *The School Administrator*, 58(4), 20-22, 24-25.

Barnes, F., Miller, M., and Dennis, R. (2001). Face to face. *Journal of Staff Development*, 22(4), 42-43, 47.

Frase, L., & Hetzel, R. (1995). *School Management by Wandering Around*. Lanham, MD: Scarecrow Press.

Good, T.L., & Brophy, J.E. (1999). *Looking in Classrooms* (8<sup>th</sup> Edition). New York: Allyn & Bacon.

Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory. (2001). *Listening to student voices self-study tool-kit: Data in a day*. Retrieved December 1, 2005, <a href="http://www.nwrel.org/scpd/scc/studentvoices/diad.shtml">http://www.nwrel.org/scpd/scc/studentvoices/diad.shtml</a>

Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory. (2001). *Structured reflection protocol: Listening to student voices*. Retrieved December 1, 2005, <a href="http://www.nwrel.org/scpd/scc/studentvoices/srp.shtml">http://www.nwrel.org/scpd/scc/studentvoices/srp.shtml</a>

Reagan, T., & Case, C. (2000). *Becoming a Reflective Educator: How to Build a Culture of Inquiry in Schools*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Taggart, G.L., & Wilson, A.P. (2005). *Promoting Reflective Thinking in Teachers: 50 Action Strategies* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

York-Barr, J., Sommers, W.A., Ghere, G.S., & Montie, J. (2001). Reflective Practice to Improve Schools: An Action Guide for Educators.

## Principal as Leader

Brookover, W., & Erickson, F. (1997). *Creating Effective Schools: An Inservice Program for Enhancing School Learning Climate and Achievement*. Holmes Beach, FL: Learning Publications.

DuFour, R. (1991). *The Principal as Staff Developer*. Bloomington, IN: National Educational Service.

DuFour, R. (1992). The Learning-Centered Principal. *Educational Leadership*, 59(8), 12-15.

DuFour, R., & Eaker, R. (1999). *Professional Learning Communities at Work: Best Practices for Enhancing Student Achievement*. Bloomington, IN: National Education Service.

Fullan, M. (2001). Leading in a Culture of Change. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Gossen, J., & Anderson, J. (1995). *Creating the Conditions: Leadership for Quality Schools.* Chapel Hill, NC: New View.

Guskey, T. (2001). *Evaluating Professional Development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2001). *Leading Learning Communities: Standards for What Principals Should Know and Be Able to Do.* Alexandria, VA: Author.

National Staff Development Council. (2002). *Lighting the Way to Revolutions in Learning*. NSDC Annual Conference Program. Oxford, OH: Author.

Reeves, D.B. (2002). The Leader's Guide to Standards: A Blueprint for Educational Equity and Excellence. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Schlechty, P.C. (2002). Working on the Work: An Action Plan for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Schlechty, P.C. (2004). Shaking Up the Schoolhouse: How to Support and Sustain Educational Innovation. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

#### Using Data

Ginsberg, M. (2001). By the Numbers. *Journal of Staff Development*, 22(2), 44-47.

Hollingsworth, J., & Ybarra, S. (n.d.). Analyzing Classroom Instruction: *Curriculum Calibration*. Retrieved December 1, 2005, http://www.sdcoe.net/lret/slc/library/aci.pdf

Richardson, J. (2001). Seeing Through New Eyes [electronic version]. *Tools for Schools* [online]. Retrieved December 1, 2005, http://www.nsdc.org/library/publications/tools/tools10-01rich.cfm

# **Supporting Instruction**

Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. (n.d.). *A Lexicon of Learning: What Educators Mean When They Say...* Retrieved December 1, 2005, <a href="http://www.ascd.org/portal/site/ascd/menuitem.4247f922ca8c9ecc8c2a9410d3108a0c/">http://www.ascd.org/portal/site/ascd/menuitem.4247f922ca8c9ecc8c2a9410d3108a0c/</a>

Benjamin, A. (1999). Writing in the Content Areas. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.

Canady, R., & Fettig, M. (1995). *Block Scheduling: A Catalyst for Change in High Schools*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.

Canady, R., & Fettig, M. (1996). *Teaching in the Block: Strategies for Engaging Active Learners*. Princeton, NJ: Eye on Education.

Costa, A., & Garmston, R. (2002). *Cognitive Coaching: A Foundation for Renaissance Schools*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon.

Cunningham, P.M., & Allington, R.L. (2003). *Classrooms That Work: They Can All Read and Write* (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Danielson, C., & McGreal, T. (2000). *Teacher Evaluation to Enhance Professional Practice*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

English, F.W. (1992). *Deciding What to Teach and Test: Developing, Aligning, and Auditing the Curriculum.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Fogarty, R. (1997). Brain Compatible Classrooms. Arlington Heights, IL: IRI/Skylight.

Fogarty, R. (1997). *Problem-based Learning and Other Curriculum Models for the Multiple Intelligences Classroom.* Arlington Heights, IL: IRI/Skylight.

Gregory, G., & Chapman, C. (2002). *Differentiated Instructional Strategies: One Size Doesn't Fit All.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Heller, R. (2001). *Roads to Success: Put into Practice the Best Business Ideas of Eight Leading Gurus*. New York: Dorling Kindersley.

Jones, F. (2000). *Tools for Teaching: Discipline, Instruction, Motivation*. Santa Cruz, CA: Frederick H. Jones & Associates, Inc. [this book is used in the CCPS NET program]

Kagan, S. (1994). Cooperative Learning. San Clemente, CA: Kagan.

Kovalik, S., & Olsen, K. (1997). *ITI: The Model: Integrated Thematic Instruction*. Kent, WA: Susan Kovalik & Associates.

Lezotte, L. (1997). Learning for All. Okemos, MI: Effective Schools Products, Ltd.

Marzano, R.J. (2000). *Designing a New Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Marzano, R.J., & Jendall, J.S. (1996). A Comprehensive Guide to Designing Standards-Based Districts, Schools, and Classrooms. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marzano, R.J., Norford, J.S., Paynter, D.E., Pickering, D.J., & Gaddy, B.B. (2001) *A Handbook for Instruction That Works*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marzano, R.J., Pickering, D.J., and Pollock, J.E. (2001). Classroom Instruction That Works: Research-Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Peters, T., & Waterman, R. (1988). *In Search of Excellence: Lessons from America's Best-Run Companies*. New York: Warner Books.

Reeves, D. (2000). *Accountability in Action: A Blueprint for Learning Organizations*. Denver, CO: Advanced Learning Press.

Reeves, D. (2002). *Making Standards Work: How to Implement Standards-Based Assessments in the Classroom, School, and district.* Denver, CO: Advanced Learning Press.

Schmoker, M. (1999). *Results: The Key to Continuous School Improvement*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Schmoker, M. (2001). *The Results Fieldbook: Practical Strategies from Dramatically Improved Schools*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Skaife, R., & Halstead, M. (2002). *Effective Schools: Only You Can Make It Happen*. Phoenix, AZ: All Star Publishing.

Tomlinson, C. (1999). *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Wagstaff, J. (1999). Teaching Reading and Writing with Word Walls: Easy Lessons and Fresh Ideas for Creating Interactive Word Walls that Build Literacy. New York: Professional Books.

Wolfe, P. (2001). *Brain Matters: Translating Research into Classroom Practice*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.